

Bishop, California. Sept. 22. 1919.

Mr. William Farrington,  
Bishop, California.

Dear Sir:-

I wish to call your attention to a case, which would seem to be a case of getting on the other man's land.

George Sem (Indian) owning land at Mono Lake, has stated to me that some sheep-men have grazed sheep on his place all summer without making any lease either with him or through this office.

George states that he had a chance to lease the place for \$150. to another party, but on account of some one else taking it upon themselves to graze upon his land, the feed was eaten, so that he could not lease it.

He makes claim for \$125. for the feed that has been eaten.

George states that the arrangement made with the parties who used the land, was made through you.

I cannot understand why this should be the case, as George possesses a Patent and Legal Title vested in the Government, for the following described land--S-1/2 of the SE-1/4 ~~SW-1/4~~ and the SE-1/4 of the SW-1/4 of Sec. 32. Tp. 1. N. and the NE-1/4 of the NE-1/4 of Sec. 5. in Tp. 1. S. of R. 26 E. M. D. M. California, containing 161.19 acres.

This Patent was issued Sept. 23. 1907.

I would like an explanation from you regarding this matter, at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours.

Superintendent.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

UNITED STATES INDIAN SERVICE

Bishop, California. Sept. 22. 1919.

Mr. William Farrington,  
Bishop, California.

Dear Sir:-

I wish to call your attention to a case, which would seem to be a case of getting on the other man's land.

George Sam (Indian) owning land at Mono Lake, has stated to me that some sheep-men have grazed sheep on his place all summer without making any lease either with him or through this office.

George states that he had a chance to lease the place for \$150. to another party, but on account of some one else taking it upon themselves to graze upon his land, the feed was eaten, so that he could not lease it.

He makes claim for \$125. for the feed that has been eaten.

George states that the arrangement made with the parties who used the land, was made through you.

I cannot understand why this should be the case, as George possesses a Patent and Legal Title vested in the Government, for the following described land--S-1/2 of the SE-1/4 ~~of the SE-1/4~~ and the SE-1/4 of the SW-1/4 of Sec. 32. Tp. 1. N. and the NE-1/4 of the NE-1/4 of Sec. 5. in Tp. 1. S. of R. 26 E. M. D. W. California, containing 161.19 acres.

This Patent was issued Sept. 23. 1907.

I would like an explanation from you regarding this matter, at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

*Control*  
Independent.  
NW 1/4 of SE 1/4 of Sec. 32.

Bishop, California. Oct. 26. 1919.

Mr. E. V. Gleason,  
Bakersfield, California.

Dear Sir:-

The claim is made by George Sam, Indian of Mono Lake, California., that a band of sheep belonging to you, grazed on his allotment the past Summer and ate off all the feed.

He presents a claim of \$125.00 for the feed eaten.

I would say that I have made an effort during the past Summer to lease this place to Mr. El Sondiberry for grazing sheep, and would have done so, had it not been that your sheep got on the place and ate off the feed.

I would say that George Sam has a Trust Patent vested in the control of the Government to the S-1/2 of the SE-1/4 and the SE-1/4 of the SW-1/4 of Sec 32. Tp. 1. E. and the NE-1/4 of the NE-1/4 of Sec. 5. in T2. 1. S. R. 26. E. M. D. N. California., containing 161.19 Acres.

It has been customary to lease the place to sheep-men, but all arrangements of this kind must be made through this office.

I wish you to please investigate this matter as early as possible with a view of making a final settlement.

Very truly yours.

Superintendent.

Mono Lake, Calif.  
Apr. 15, 1919.

Ms R. R. Farrett  
Bishop, Calif.  
Dear Sir:-

In reply to your letter of 2 Apr. in regard to the leasing of Bridgeport Pam's land I think Mr. Ellison probably has probably made some mistake. He has had Squaw George's probably you know her as George's land ranch for the last two or three years. It isn't worth more than \$65. Bridgeport Pam though has a very good ranch most of it under cultivation. It would be worth much more. Bridgeport Pam is down at Round Valley at present so probably you could see both parties there.

I hadn't heard of Pam wanting to rent his place until after laying.

I'm sorry I can not give you more information but think you can find both Indians in Round Valley.

Very sincerely  
Mrs A. Harrington.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
UNITED STATES INDIAN SERVICE

Bishop, Cal., R.F.D. #  
Aug. 26, 1919.

Supt. Ray R. Parrett,  
Bishop, Cal.

Dear Sir:

George Lane is quite worried about his ranch near Mono Lake. He saw Will Farrington, who lives near Laws, in town last Saturday and Farrington told him that he (Farrington) owns the 160 acres George says belongs to him. It seems Mr. Farrington had Mr. Sherwin survey the land and by his survey Farrington gets nearly all of George's farm. It was Farrington who rented the land to that sheep man.

George says J. D. Thompson, now dead, who was county surveyor of Mono Co.,

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
UNITED STATES INDIAN SERVICE

surveyed the land about twelve  
years ago.

He is very anxious about it  
hope you will be able to straighten  
it out for him.

Very truly,

G. S. Mills

Bishop, California. February 2, 1920.

Department of Commerce,  
Bureau of The Census.  
Washington, D.C.

Gentlemen:-

With reference to the enclosed request for information addressed to George Sam, Indian of this jurisdiction, I would say that he is not engaged in any Irrigation Enterprise, and has not been in the past.

His allotment is located at a high altitude in the mountains, and irrigation is not necessary.

Very truly yours.

Superintendent.

Bishop, California. February 2, 1920.

Cattle Protection Board,  
612. Underwood Building.  
San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:-

There is enclosed herewith, Check No 5, amount, \$1.50 for which I request the renewal, to the continued use of Brand No. 7094, in the name of George Sam, of Round Valley, California, for the year ending December 31, 1920.

Very truly yours.

Superintendent.



Bishop, California. Dec. 15, 1920.

Mr. W.D. Roberts,  
Round Valley via,  
R.F.D. Bishop, California.

Dear Sir:-

George Sam of Round Valley has presented a matter to the attention of this office, relating to his compensation for Corn Focking for you.

George states that he was to receive \$7.00 per acre, and now that the work is performed that you wish to pay him for 8 acres as being the acreage of the field, whereas George claims that the party who plowed the field with a tractor made the statement that there were 15 acres in the field.

George apparently was working under this understanding, that there were 15 acres instead of 8, and now claims his pay for the 15 acres.

It would be much more satisfactory if you can properly adjust this matter with George Sam without any further investigation, otherwise I know of no other plan than for some dis-interested parties to determine the acreage, or for a survey to be made of the field.

I hope that the matter may be settled to the satisfaction of all concerned, without any further investigation being necessary/.

very truly yours/

Superintendent.

Ray. W. W. # Puchoff Dec 20<sup>th</sup> 1920

Dear Sir:

I received your letter regarding Sam's complaint. I did not tell George Sam there were only 8 acres in the field, either before husking or since. I knew there was 15 acres and I do not doubt but what there might be even more.

But I did hire him to husk it on an 8 acre basis, as the cor did not come up well and there was only about that acreage with corn on that required husking. And Sam was told that if he wanted the job he could have it on those terms. I had others who were in to take it - I wish you would

Come up and look over the field  
and see the corn we have in the crib -  
Mr Nardyke suggested that field and  
before he left me last fall. I asked him  
about the corn the stand and yield. He told  
me if it matured I should get it husked  
on and 8 to 10 acre basis, as it did not  
come up well. I offered the contract  
to Sam on that basis.

Very Respectfully  
Mrs H. L. Roberts.

Bishop, California,  
January 18th, 1921.

George S&B,  
R. F. D. # 2,  
Bishop, Cal.

Dear Friend:

I am enclosing you letter from Mr. Roberts,  
relating to the corn that you husked for him.

He says that you agreed to husk that piece of  
corn on an eight acre basis. He says that there are probably  
even more than 15 acres in the piece, but that the corn was  
poor. He also states that there were others that wanted the  
job on this (eight acre) basis.

I hope that you and Mr. Roberts will talk over this  
matter and make settlement satisfactory to both.

From your friend,

Superintendent.

RDH

Bishop Calif. 1921  
on account to Black Lane  
with Ernest to live  
I gave you \$10.00 in corner  
Pool Hall  
I gave you \$10.00 in 7/18/21  
1921 and I gave you five \$5.00  
twice since we were in  
corner Pool hall  
I gave you \$4.00  
I mailed you \$9.00 from  
Round Valley  
and I gave you \$15.00  
after the Rodos Tasting  
making full amount paid \$65

you said when I paid you  
the last \$15.00 that I  
owed you ten more and  
I could pay you anytime

