







HISTORY OF TITLE IX & ATHLETICS 1988: Civil Rights Restoration Act restored Title IX's application to athletics 1990s: OCR studied the efficacy of the Three-Prong Test and institutions' compliance with it. 1996: Issued "Clarification on Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Guidance: The Three-Part Test." 2003: OCR again examined the Three-Part Test and restated that it remained unchanged. 2005: "Additional Clarification" from OCR that weakened 3-part test. 2010: OCR rescinded 2005 guidance.



TITLE IX & ATHLETICS Title IX DOES require an institution to: Provide an equal opportunity for female and male students to become intercollegiate athletes Analyzed by means of a three-part test Provide equivalent treatment of participants in the overall women's program as compared to the overall men's program. Analyzed according to thirteen (13) different program components.









OVERSIGHT OF ATHLETICS GENDER EQUITY: THREE-PART TEST (1979) Effective accommodation of interests and abilities: • Part 1: Opportunities for males and females substantially proportionate to their respective enrollments; OR • Part 2: Where one sex has been underrepresented, a history and continuing practice of program expansion responsive to the developing interests and abilities of that sex; OR • Part 3: Where one sex is underrepresented and cannot show a continuing practice of program expansion, whether it can be demonstrated that the interests and abilities of that sex have been fully and effectively accommodated by that present program.


Por purposes of Title IX compliance, a "participant" is defined as those athletes who: Regularly receive institutionally-sponsored support normally provided to athletes Regularly participate in organized practices or team meetings and activities during the season Are listed on the eligibility or squad list Are injured, but continue to receive financial aid on the basis of athletic ability

• "Participants" also include those who: - Are listed on a team's squad or eligibility list AND are on the team as of the team's first competitive event - Join the team during the season* - Are a walk-on - Are a member of the JV, freshman, "B" team, etc. - Quit or were cut after the first competitive event - Are a Redshirt athlete - Are a mid-year transfer eligible to participate in the spring *Season begins on the date of a team's first intercollegiate competitive event and concludes on the date of the final intercollegiate competitive event.



PART 1: PROPORTIONALITY OPPORTUNITIES FOR MALES AND FEMALES SUBSTANTIALLY PROPORTIONATE Substantially proportionate accounts for natural fluctuations in enrollment and participation rates, but institutions must adjust if shifted enrollment or participation shifts persist OCR uses a case-by-case analysis, rather than a rigid statistical requirement (e.g.: within 1% of student body) OCR would also consider opportunities to be substantially proportionate when the number of opportunities that would be required to achieve proportionality would not be sufficient to sustain a viable team Source COL 1990, Curfuction of Rescalinguise Athletic Parks Quadrance. The Prose Part Tex.

PART 2: PROGRAM EXPANSION HISTORY AND CONTINUING PRACTICE OF PROGRAM EXPANSION RESPONSIVE TO THE DEVELOPING INTERESTS AND ABILITIES OF THE UNDERREPRESENTED SEX In analyzing a history of program expansion, OCR considers: An institution's record of adding intercollegiate teams, or upgrading teams to intercollegiate status, for the underrepresented sex; An institution's record of increasing the numbers of participants in intercollegiate athletics who are members of the underrepresented sex; and An institution's affirmative responses to requests by students or others for addition or elevation of sports.



PART 3: FULL ACCOMMODATION WHETHER IT CAN BE DEMONSTRATED THAT THE INTERESTS AND ABILITIES OF THAT SEX HAVE BEEN FULLY AND EFFECTIVELY ACCOMMODATED BY THAT PRESENT PROGRAM OCR will consider whether: There is sufficient unmet interest to support an intercollegiate team There is sufficient ability to sustain an intercollegiate team There is a reasonable expectation of competition for the team Source CCR (1998). Carification of Intercolleguate Athletics Policy Guidance: The Three Part Test.

LEVELS OF COMPETITION 1. Equivalently Advanced Competitive Opportunities 2. Upgrades of Competitive Opportunities


LEVELS OF COMPETITION	at <mark>l</mark> a
OCR assesses compliance by examining:	
Whether the competitive schedules for men's and women's teams, on a program-wide basis, afford proportionally similar numbers of male and athletes equivalently advanced competitive opportunities; OR	
Whether the institution can demonstrate a history and continuing pract upgrading the competitive opportunities available to the historically disadvantaged sex as warranted by developing abilities among the athle that sex.	
Source: HEW (1979). Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Interpretation.	
© 2018 Association of Tr	le IX Administrators




Must provide reasonable opportunities for financial assistance to members of each sex in proportion to the participation rate of each sex in intercollegiate athletics. Does NOT require same number of scholarships for men and women or scholarship of equal value. Total amount awarded must be "substantially proportionate to the participation rates" of men and women in the institution's athletic programs Disparities could be non-discriminatory in origin E.g.: in-state vs. out-of-state recruits; reasonable professional decisions Also applies to work-related aid programs or loans


NULTI-SPORT ATHLETES & FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE There is a different standard for counting multi-sport athletes for scholarship participants than for all other forms of Title IX analyses. Scholarship counting An athlete who participates in multiple sports is counted as only ONE scholarship Based on total program participation, not individual team analysis Typically does not matter which team the student is counted for All other forms of Title IX analysis An athlete who participates in multiple sports is counted as a participant for each sport


















ACADEMIC TUTORING Key factors in examining the equivalence for men and women: Academic Tutoring The availability of tutoring Procedures and criteria for obtaining tutorial assistance Assignment of Tutors Tutor qualifications Training, experience, and other qualifications Compensation of Tutors Hourly rate of payment by nature subjects tutored Pupil loads per tutoring season Tutor qualifications Experience Other terms and conditions of employment




MEDICAL AND TRAINING FACILITIES AND SERVICES Key factors in examining the equivalence for men and women: Availability of medical personnel and assistance Health, accident and injury insurance coverage Availability and quality of weight and training facilities Availability and quality of conditioning facilities Availability and qualifications of athletic trainers.

HOUSING AND DINING FACILITIES AND SERVICES Key factors in examining the equivalence for men and women: Housing provided Special services as part of housing arrangements (e.g., laundry facilities, parking space, maid service)


Key factors in examining the equivalence for men and women: Availability and quality of sports information personnel; Access to other publicity resources for men's and women's programs; and Quantity and quality of publications and other promotional devices featuring men's and women's programs.

Key factors: • Whether coaches or other professional athletic personnel in the programs serving male and female athletes are provided with substantially equal opportunities to recruit; • Whether the financial and other resources made available for recruitment in male and female athletic programs are equivalently adequate to meet the needs of each program; and • Whether the differences in benefits, opportunities, and treatment afforded prospective student athletes of each sex have a disproportionately limiting effect upon the recruitment of students of either sex.


The administrative and clerical support provided to an athletic program can affect the overall provision of opportunity to male and female athletes, particularly to the extent that the provided services enable coaches to perform better their coaching functions. Key factors: The amount of administrative assistance provided to men's and women's programs; The amount of secretarial and clerical assistance provided to men's and women's programs.













PREVALENCE OF VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN IN THE ATHLETIC DEPARTMENT • Male athletes are more represented in violence against women statistics vs. their non-athlete counterparts. • Male student athletes = 3.3% of student population. - 19% of sexual violence. - 35% of domestic violence.



















UNIQUE CHALLENGES: affa **TITLE IX AND STUDENT ATHLETICS** • Culture of violence and aggressive behavior in sports. • Male bonding and group loyalty. • Sexualization and subordination of women in male team sports. • Approval of sexist language and attitudes. • Perception of "groupie culture." • Celebrity sense. • Entitlement. MANAGING DATING RELATIONSHIPS AMONG at a **TEAMMATES** Frame intra-team dating as part of broader relationship management issues that can distract a team from their competitive goals, such as: Best friends on a team being cliquey or having a big falling out . Two women on a team dating the same guy on a men's team $\bullet\,$ One teammate getting dumped by her boyfriend and then he starts dating one of her · Heterosexual dating on a mixed team Heterosexual dating on men's and women's teams that practice together and travel to competitions together Same-sex teammates dating on a men's or on a women's team Conflicts between white and black teammates Conflicts between gay and straight teammates · Conflicts between Christian and non-Christian teammates MANAGING DATING RELATIONSHIPS AMONG atla **TEAMMATES** • Develop policy that applies to all relationship management issues rather than focusing on same-sex teammates dating • Make policies about dating apply to all dating relationships not just same- sex dating $\bullet\,$ Range of possible policies on intra-team dating: - Prohibit intra-team dating (Not recommended) - Ignore intra-team dating (Not recommended) - Proactively set expectations for intra-team dating and other dating relationships and interpersonal conflict on the team (Recommended)





